LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY OFFICE OF FISCAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS

200 W. Washington, Suite 301 Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317) 233-0696 http://www.in.gov/legislative

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

LS 7633 NOTE PREPARED: Feb 23, 2007 **BILL NUMBER:** HB 1488 **BILL AMENDED:** Feb 23, 2007

SUBJECT: Worker's Compensation.

FIRST AUTHOR: Rep. Cheney

BILL STATUS: 2nd Reading - 1st House

FIRST SPONSOR:

FUNDS AFFECTED: X GENERAL IMPACT: State & Local

 $\begin{array}{cc} \underline{X} & DEDICATED \\ \underline{X} & FEDERAL \end{array}$

<u>Summary of Legislation:</u> This bill increases worker's compensation and occupational disease benefits. It increases compensation for permanent partial impairment.

Effective Date: July 1, 2007.

Explanation of State Expenditures: The bill increases the award for disability based on the degree of impairment.

	FY 2009		FY 2010		FY 2011	
Degree	Current	Proposed	Current	Proposed	Current	Proposed
1-10	1,365	1,365	1,380	1,380	1,400	1,400
11-35	1,570	1,570	1,585	1,585	1,600	1,600
36-50	2,525	2,725	2,600	2,800	2,700	2,900
Over 50	3,200	3,700	3,300	3,800	3,500	4,000

The percentage increase in the degree of impairment awards is for degree of impairment over 36%. The increase is about 7.5% annually for the 36-50% and 15% annually for the over-50% category.

The bill also increases the average weekly wage used in the determination of compensation for permanent partial impairment from \$975 to \$1,007 for FY 2011.

1

The bill increases the maximum award from \$325,000 to \$335,613 for FY 2011.

HB 1488+

It is difficult to determine the potential cost of these changes. An actuarial analysis of these changes will be performed by the National Council on Compensation Insurance (NCCI). [*Note:* The results of the actuarial analysis are not currently available. The note will be updated when NCCI finishes their analysis.]

According to the Indiana Compensation Rating Bureau, premiums decreased by 3.2% for 2007, increased 2.2% for 2006, and increased by 3.2% for 2005. Premiums for worker's compensation for 2005 were about \$829 M.

The impact on the state would be as a self-insured employer. For FY 2006, the state paid about \$2.3 M in worker's compensation benefits, \$2.7 M in FY 2005, and \$2.5 M in FY 2004.

Explanation of State Revenues:

Explanation of Local Expenditures: See *Explanation of State Expenditures*.

Explanation of Local Revenues:

State Agencies Affected: All.

Local Agencies Affected: All.

Information Sources:

Fiscal Analyst: Chuck Mayfield, 317-232-4825.

HB 1488+ 2